



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/944,103 | 09/04/2001 | Tsuguo Fukawa | 21994/0028 | 1748 | |
| 7. | 590 12/01/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP | | | CHEN, TIANJIE | | |
| Suite 800 1990 M Street, | NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Washington, DC 20036-3425 | | | 2652 | 2652 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/944,103 | FUKAWA ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Tianjie Chen | 2652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amouthe shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened stat | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | | |
| (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C 1 \text{Appeal was filed on \text{Appeal ant's}} | FR 1.704(b). | | otion, even if | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: Claim 11 is extensively amended, which | requires further consideration and s | <u>search</u> . | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NO | T place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | 4 | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 11 and 12. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a) | roved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | 7 | · | | | |
| · | (he THAN | | 1/23/2004 | | | |
| | PRIMARY | EXAMMEN | | | | |